Reconciling X-ray and IR observations of the Circinus Galaxy

Carolina Andonie Master Student, PUC, Chile

Collaborators: Claudio Ricci, Ezequiel Treister, Stephane Paltani, Marko Stalevski, Patricia Arévalo, Franz Bauer

The Circinus Galaxy

- 2 components in the parsec scale:
 - Disk like component in the equatorial plane of the system
 - Large structure elongated in the polar direction
- Stalevski et al. (2017,subm) proposed for the dusty emitting regions:
 - A flared disk for the disk like component
 - A cone/hyperboloid shell for the elongated emission



- Seyfert 2 AGN
- 4.2 Mpc

Chandra ACIS image of Circinus



The NuSTAR and XMM-Newton aperture is contaminated by point sources

Arévalo et. al (2014)

Declination

X-ray spectrum of Circinus



- The Circinus spectrum is dominated by scattered and reflected component
 - The transmitted componer is almost negligible

Arévalo et. al (2014)



Stalevski et. al (2017, subm)

RefleX (S. Paltani & C. Ricci, 2017)

- RefleX is a ray-tracing code, which simulates the physical processes of X-ray photons through quasi-arbitraries geometries, using Montecarlo simulations
- 4 engines of RefleX:
 - X-ray generator
 - Objects
 - Propagator
 - Physical processes



Image from MyTorus Manual, Tahir Yaqoob & Kendrah D. Murphy, 2010

Cone shell model



Hyperboloid shell model



Differences with the cone model:

- $N_{H,hyperboloid} = 6 \times 10^{23} \text{ cm}^{-2}$
- $\theta_{cone} = 30^{\circ}$
- Walls of the hyperboloid in the plane of the disk

Chandra - HEG



The Compton Shoulder of the Iron Kα line is not reproduced by any model

Chandra - HEG



The Compton Shoulder of the Iron Kα line is not reproduced by any model

Chandra: cone shell model with θ_{disk} = 30°

cone shell model 0.1 0.01 10-3 10-4 2 1.5 0.5 6 Energy (keV)

A higher covering factor of the flared disk does not improve the results

Chandra: flared-disk with $N_{H} = 6 \times 10^{24} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ and $\theta_{disk} = 30^{\circ}$

flared disk model



Without a cone/hyperboloid shell the Compton shoulder is better reproduced

Compton Shoulder of the Iron K α line: comparison between the models



The flared disk model produces more flux in the Compton shoulder than the hyperboloid/cone shell model

Why the hyperboloid/cone models produce less photons in the Compton shoulder?



- As the cone/hyperboloid is Compton-thin, this only produces Iron Ka line photons, but it does not produce Compton shoulder photons
- Maybe the hyperboloid/cone shell scatters the Compton shoulder photons that come from the flared disk

NuSTAR + XMM-Newton fit (N_H=3x10²⁴)



The results are quite similar, but the cone shell model produces more residuals in the curvature of the spectrum

NuSTAR + XMM-Newton fit (N_H=6x10²⁴)



still not well reproduced

Next steps

- A clumpy distribution?
- Warped masers disk
- A higher column density
 - \circ N_{H,disk}>10²⁵ cm⁻²
 - \circ N_{H,cone/hyperboloid} >10²⁴ cm⁻²
- A table model with free parameters

Summary

- The infrared model by itself cannot reproduce the X-ray spectrum of Circinus
- Neither the hyperboloid nor the cone shell models can reproduce the Compton Shoulder of the Iron Kα line. Two options:
 - The cone/hyperboloid scatter the photons of the Compton Shoulder
 - The thin column density of the cone/hyperboloid shell produce more photons in the Iron Ka line, but it does not produce Compton Shoulder's photon
- About the curvature of the spectrum:
 - There is not a significant change between the cone and hyperboloid shell models
 - The increment in the column density improves the results, but still we cannot reproduce the whole spectrum
- **Next step**: a table model with free parameters